

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/538,050		06/08/2005	Reinhard Hering	2002P01300WOUS	1389	
46726	7590	05/26/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
JOHN T. Y		- ·	EL ARINI,	EL ARINI, ZEINAB		
NEW BERN, NC 28562				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1746		
				DATE MAILED: 05/26/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Office Action O	10/538,050	HERING ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Zeinab E. EL-Arini	1746				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ess			
WHI0 - Exte after - If N0 - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period varie to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this commod (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·			
Status	.,						
1)[X]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 Ju	ine 2005					
·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.					
3)	,						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)	Claim(s) 10-19 is/are pending in the application	1 .					
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) 10-19 is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9) 又	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
	The drawing(s) filed on $\underline{06/08/05}$ is/are: a) \boxtimes a		ie Examiner.				
, —	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO	-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
·	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicati	on No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National St	age			
	application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	∌d.				
Attachmer	nt(s)						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F		52)			
	er No(s)/Mail Date <u>06/08/05</u> .	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/538,050

Art Unit: 1746

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) THE NAMES OF THE PARTIES TO A JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT
- (e) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or

REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)

- (f) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (g) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (h) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (i) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (j) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (I) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

The specification, as originally filed, does not include the heading as above.

Application/Control Number: 10/538,050 Page 3

Art Unit: 1746

1. The amendment filed 06/08/05 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: "After the dishwasher is placed in a program execution readiness state------"as is now recited in the amended abstract.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 10-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The specification, as originally filed, does not provide support for "after the dishwasher is placed in a program execution readiness-----and thereafter following restoration of the dishwasher to its program execution readiness state---- "as is now claimed in claim 10.
- 3. Claims 10-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for "a dishwasher and a method for washing

Application/Control Number: 10/538,050

Art Unit: 1746

comprising following an interruption in a partial drying section----", does not reasonably provide enablement for "after the dishwasher is placed in a program execution readiness state-----". The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. The specification, as originally filed, does not provide enablement for "after the dishwasher is placed in a program----".

Page 4

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 10-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 10, line 6, "the completion" lacks antecedent basis.

In claims 11-13, line 3, "the step" lacks antecedent basis.

In claims 10 and 14, "the event", and "the one hand" lack antecedent basis.

In claim 11, line 7, "the temperature" lacks antecedent basis.

In claim 14, line 10, "the operation" lacks antecedent basis. Lines one and two are indefinite, because it does not include apparatus limitation.

6. The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors.

Application/Control Number: 10/538,050 Page 5

Art Unit: 1746

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 10-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 07-313435(JP'435).

JP'435 discloses a method of washing and drying tableware. The reference does not teach the order of the steps as claimed. See the abstract and the English translation.

It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to use the method taught by JP'435 to obtain the claimed invention. This is because the method and dishwasher as taught by JP'435 are functionally equivalent to the process and dishwasher as claimed.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Honda (JP 2006-288172) discloses equipment includes a controller which sets the functions based on the stored program with respect to the operational keys. The operation instructions, related to restart or interruption are indicated on a display with respective time limits using the set programs based on the selected keys.

Art Unit: 1746

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zeinab E. EL-Arini whose telephone number is (571) 272-1301. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on (571) 272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Zeinab E. EL-Arini Primary Examiner Art Unit 1746

ZEE

05/23/06